

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,409	01/23/2004	B. Mark Hirst	200311455-1	9480
22879	22879 7590 07/28/2005		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT	PACKARD COMPA	LAXTON, GARY L		
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	INS, CO 80527-2400		2838	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\infty$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/764,409	HIRST, B. MARK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary L. Laxton	2838				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	o action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/6/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2838

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

- 1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 2. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Art Unit: 2838

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the lack of proper content and language. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b). See also the proper format for content and language of an abstract noted above.
- 5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

#### Claim Objections

6. Claim 39 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 34 already recites an AC/DC converter.

Art Unit: 2838

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 11-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 11 recites the limitation "said configurations" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 11 recites "at least two" transistor totempole configurations, meaning there could be more than two. Therefore, when the applicant refers to "said configurations", it is unclear whether the applicant is referring to the "at least two" configurations that were positively recited or whether the applicant is referring to any other configurations that may or may not exist. The examiner assumes the applicant is referring to the "at least two" configurations and nothing more. Claims 12-24 inherit the same through dependency.

Claims 13-16, line 1 of all the claims; again, "said transistor configurations" is unclear whether the applicant is referring to the "at least two" or whether the applicant is referring to any other transistor configurations that may or may not exist. The examiner assumes the applicant is referring to the "at least two" configurations and nothing more.

Claims 14 and 15 recite the limitations "some of the transistors of the configurations" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The applicant has not claimed that the configurations contain any number of transistors.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "said transistors" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Same problems as claims 14 and 15. The applicant has not claimed any number of transistors only configurations.

Claim 43 recites the limitation "the primary side" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9, 25, 31-36, 39, 41, 43, 44, 45, 47, 49 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andersen (WO 02/089303 cited by applicant).

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9, 25, 31-36, 39, 41; Andersen discloses a power converter, figure 16; the power converter includes a capacitor (1603a), the capacitor (1603a) is coupled in the converter so as to drive a primary of a transformer (T1) without signal rectification. The capacitor is adapted to switch between charging and discharging operation at different portions of a current cycle. Obviously, the capacitor is adapted to switch between charging and discharging operation at or substantially near zero current (i.e. i.e. AC input waveform). AC input and DC load (Vout) for a DC consuming device. Full wave rectification.

Art Unit: 2838

Claims 43, 44, 45, 49 and 51; means for converting from an AC voltage to a DC voltage, figure 16; the means for converting including a means for isolation (1610), the means for isolation including a primary and a secondary; the means for converting being coupled so that, in operation, AC to DC voltage rectification does not occur on the primary of the means for isolation.

11. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 18, 20, 22, 24, 25, 31-36, 39, 41, 43, 44, 45, 47, 49 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herbert (US 6,115,267).

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 18, 20, 22, 24, 25, 31-36, 39 and 41; Herbert, figure 8, discloses a power converter that includes a capacitor (113 or 115), the capacitor is coupled in the converter so as to drive a primary of a transformer (123) without signal rectification. The capacitor is adapted to switch between charging and discharging operation at different portions of a current cycle (i.e. AC input waveform). Obviously, the capacitor is adapted to switch between charging and discharging operation at or substantially near zero current. AC input and DC load (Vout) for a DC consuming device. Full wave rectification. At least two transistor totem-pole configurations (143, 145, 147, 149); one of the configurations coupled to an AC line (171) and another of the configurations coupled to an AC neutral (123), a capacitance device (113, 115) coupled between the configurations (via 123) to drive a primary of an isolation transformer (123).

Claims 43, 44, 45, 49 and 51; means for converting from an AC voltage to a DC voltage, figure 8; the means for converting including a means for isolation (27), the means for isolation including a primary and a secondary; the means for converting being coupled so that, in

Art Unit: 2838

operation, AC to DC voltage rectification does not occur on the primary of the means for isolation.

12. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 10-18, 20, 22, 24-36, 39, 41-43, 47, 49, 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang et al (US 6,344,979).

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 10-18, 20, 22, 24-36, 39, 41-42; Huang et al disclose a power converter, figure 4; the power converter includes a capacitor (C<sub>S</sub>), the capacitor (C<sub>S</sub>) is coupled in the converter so as to drive a primary of a transformer (130) without signal rectification (e.g. since the circuit receives a DC input voltage (Vin), there is no need for signal rectification). The capacitor is adapted to switch between charging and discharging operation at different portions of a current cycle. Obviously, the capacitor is adapted to switch between charging and discharging operation at or substantially near zero current. AC input and DC load (Vout) for a DC consuming device. Full wave rectification. At least two transistor totem-pole configurations (figure 12: S1, S2 & S3, S4); one of the configurations coupled to an AC line (L<sub>S</sub>) and another of the configurations coupled to an AC neutral (1/2 Vin); a capacitance device (C<sub>S</sub>) coupled between the configurations (via L<sub>M</sub>, L<sub>S</sub>) to drive a primary of an isolation transformer (L<sub>M</sub>). The power converter comprises an AC/DC converter (L<sub>S</sub>, C<sub>S</sub>, L<sub>M</sub>, D1, D2, Co, Vo, Ro).

Claims 43, 47, 49, 51 and 52; means for converting from an AC voltage to a DC voltage (L<sub>S</sub>, C<sub>S</sub>, L<sub>M</sub>, D1, D2, Co, Vo, Ro), at least figure 10; the means for converting including a means for isolation (L<sub>M</sub>), the means for isolation including a primary (C<sub>S</sub>, L<sub>S</sub>) and a secondary (D1, D2); the means for converting being coupled so that, in operation, AC to DC voltage rectification does not occur on the primary of the means for isolation.

Art Unit: 2838

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 4, 6, 37, 38, 46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andersen (WO 02/089303 cited by applicant) in view of Walsh et al (US 5,872,983).

Andersen discloses the claimed invention in regards to claims 1, 34 and 43 supra, except for wherein the power converter is incorporated on a motherboard and except for wherein the DC power consuming device comprises at least one of a fax, printer, scanner, and copier.

Motherboards comprising power supplies to provide power to peripheral devices such as printers is very well known in the art as a method of providing power to the components in computer systems. Walsh et al, for example, teach a motherboard comprising a power supply and connected to a fax, printer, scanner, or copier in order to provide an electronic computer system with power management to provide power requirements to peripheral devices such as faxes, printers, scanners or copiers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the power supply of Andersen to be integrated on a motherboard and to provide power to a fax, printer, scanner or copier as taught by Walsh et al in order to supply power to the fax, printer, scanner, or copier from a computer system.

Page 9

15. Claims 4, 6, 19, 21, 37, 38, 46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herbert (US 6,115,267) in view of Walsh et al (US 5,892,983).

Herbert discloses the claimed invention in regards to claims 1, 34 and 43 supra, except for wherein the power converter is incorporated on a motherboard and except for wherein the DC power consuming device comprises at least one of a fax, printer, scanner, and copier.

Motherboards comprising power supplies to provide power to peripheral devices such as printers is very well known in the art as a method of providing power to the components in computer systems. Walsh et al, for example, teach a motherboard comprising a power supply and connected to a fax, printer, scanner, or copier in order to provide an electronic computer system with power management to provide power requirements to peripheral devices such as faxes. printers, scanners or copiers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the power supply of Herbert to be integrated on a motherboard and to provide power to a fax, printer, scanner or copier as taught by Walsh et al in order to supply power to the fax, printer, scanner, or copier from a computer system.

Claims 4, 6, 19, 21, 37, 38, 46 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as 16. being unpatentable over Huang et al (US 6,344,979) in view of Walsh et al (US 5,892,983).

Huang et al disclose the claimed invention in regards to claims 1, 34 and 43 supra, except for wherein the power converter is incorporated on a motherboard and except for wherein the DC power consuming device comprises at least one of a fax, printer, scanner, and copier.

Motherboards comprising power supplies to provide power to peripheral devices such as printers is very well known in the art as a method of providing power to the components in computer systems. Walsh et al, for example, teach a motherboard comprising a power supply and connected to a fax, printer, scanner, or copier in order to provide an electronic computer system with power management to provide power requirements to peripheral devices such as faxes, printers, scanners or copiers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the power supply of Huang et al to be integrated on a motherboard and to provide power to a fax, printer, scanner or copier as taught by Walsh et al in order to supply power to the fax, printer, scanner, or copier from a computer system.

17. Claims 8, 23, 40 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Andersen (WO 02/089303 – cited by applicant) in view of Balakrishnan (US 6,813,168).

Andersen discloses the claimed invention in regards to claims 1, 34 and 43 supra, except for wherein power converter includes an input pi filter.

Balakrishnan teaches that known power supply techniques employ input EMI filter circuits of varying complexity. The simplest form of input EMI filter is known as a pi filter and is used in low-power power supplies to reduce power supply cost (col. 1 lines 25-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the power supply of Andersen to include a pi filter in order to reduce power supply cost as taught by Balakrishnan.

18. Claims 8, 23, 40 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herbert (US 6,115,267) in view of Balakrishnan (US 6,813,168).

Herbert discloses the claimed invention in regards to claims 1, 34 and 43 supra, except for wherein power converter includes an input pi filter.

Balakrishnan teaches that known power supply techniques employ input EMI filter circuits of varying complexity. The simplest form of input EMI filter is known as a pi filter and is used in low-power power supplies to reduce power supply cost (col. 1 lines 25-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the power supply of Herbert to include a pi filter in order to reduce power supply cost as taught by Balakrishnan.

19. Claims 8, 23, 40 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al (US 6,344,979) in view of Balakrishnan (US 6,813,168).

Huang et al disclose the claimed invention in regards to claims 1, 34 and 43 supra, except for wherein power converter includes an input pi filter.

Balakrishnan teaches that known power supply techniques employ input EMI filter circuits of varying complexity. The simplest form of input EMI filter is known as a pi filter and is used in low-power power supplies to reduce power supply cost (col. 1 lines 25-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the power supply of Huang et al to include a pi filter in order to reduce power supply cost as taught by Balakrishnan.

Art Unit: 2838

#### Conclusion

20. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 4,321,662 Yokoyama discloses a power supply circuit with a capacitor at the primary and no rectification.

21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary L. Laxton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2838